

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 23 June 1970

Page 2

25X1 3. [] - JMM) Briefed Ed Braswell, Chief of Staff, Senate Armed Services Committee, on the activation of a new collection project, its promising prospects to date, security sensitivity, and the fact that we would hope to be able to provide an assessment of the project's effectiveness in the near future.

Braswell said he still saw little prospect that our retirement package would get early attention but he would be glad to push it at the first opportunity after its submission.

I left with Braswell a current OER paper on the effects of the war in Cambodia on the Cambodian economy, a question which Senator Stennis had raised a couple of weeks ago.

Braswell said Senator Stennis has agreed that Senator Jackson should be briefed on a sensitive project which was recently explained to Stennis and Braswell.

25X1 4. [] - JMM) Called Charles Johnson, Chief Counsel
25X1A and Staff Director, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, to explain that Mr. []'s meeting with Ted Kazey, of Johnson's staff, had resulted from the request of Dick Cook, who handles congressional liaison for the White House, that we contact and brief Kazey re S. 782 (Ervin bill). Johnson said this was okay with him and indicated his full support for our position. He does not expect action on the bill until after the 4th of July, since many Committee members will be out of town until then. He recommends that we personally explain our position to Representatives Hamilton and Nix, and believes we can count on the firm support of Representatives Henderson, Derwinski and Lukens.

25X1 5. [] (RJK) Delivered to the offices of Senators Stuart Symington, Herman Talmadge, Vance Hartke, Jacob Javits, Hugh Scott, and Mike Mansfield, FBIS items in which their names were mentioned.

25X1 6. [] - GLC) Mr. Wilker, on the staff of Representative
25X1 Charles A. Mosher (R., Ohio), called and inquired about CIA's role in the

[]
meetings. I suggested to Wilker that he make his inquiry about the meetings to the Department of State. In response to his question about the Agency role and whether someone from the Agency would be present, I told him I had no information on this, but added that any role which we might play in meetings of this sort was strictly that of an intelligence adviser with no policy functions. Wilker will pursue his questions regarding the conference in general with State Department.

SECRET

CRC, 8/2/2003

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 16 June 1970

Page 3

25X1 11. [] - LLM) Robert Horner, Chief Investigator,
25X1C House Internal Security Committee, called to advise that the open
session for [] has been scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on 24 June
25X1C and would involve an hour to an hour and a half on "Marxism/Leninism."
25X1C Since [] is a friendly witness, the subpoena will be held for service
here and will be served solely for the purpose of picking up []
25X1C expenses. I told Horner there was no need for the Committee to pay
25X1C [] [] is to prepare a short biography for the record and Horner
25X1C said they would help if [] does not want to talk to the press following
his testimony.

25X1C

25X1 12. [] - JGO) Met with Frank Slatinshek, Assistant Chief
Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, concerning the Soviet
TU-95 flights to Cuba and told him that the monitoring or radar coverage
of such flights is an operational military responsibility of NORAD.
Although this response did not satisfy him completely, no further action
on this matter is expected from us. (See Journal item of 12 June 1970.)

I also discussed S. 782 with Mr. Slatinshek and alerted him to
the possibility of a request for a letter from the Chairman to the
Subcommittee on Manpower and Civil Service, House Post Office and
Civil Service Committee about the bill. I told him that we would draft
a suggested letter for him along the lines of the Rivers to Henderson
letter of 18 June 1968.

We discussed the Agency retirement legislation at some length.
He does not feel that our bill has much of a chance of getting through
both houses this year. Although he sees no problem as such in getting it
through House Armed Services Committee and the House of Representatives,
he pointed out that the Senate Armed Services Committee at present
has some 38 House-passed bills, including the procurement and construction
bills, that have not been acted upon. With this kind of backlog in the
Senate Committee, he feels that our chances in the Senate are not too good
for this session.

SECRET